







Appendix 3 to the Regulations for Participation in the EUREKA Project Implemented by Lodz University of Technology and Partners Universities under the NAWA SPINAKER Programme

Candidate Assessment Form

Name and Surname of the Candidate	

Formal Criteria:

Current status as a student at the home university Enrolled in first-cycle studies and maintaining active student status - without special or dean's leave, suspension, etc.		□NO
Grounds for exclusion of citizens from the Russian Federation As a result of the aggression of the Russian Federation against Ukraine, excluded from participation in the Program are natural persons who are citizens of the Russian Federation.	□YES	□NO
Knowledge of the English language at a minimum B2 level Confirmed by an appropriate certificate (if applicable)		□NO
Availability for the entire duration of the IIEP Confirmed by the Candidate's declaration	□YES	□NO

Substantive criteria:

Criterion	Description	Number of points
I. Academic interests and achievements (max. 5 points)	 No relevant academic interests or achievements – 0 points Basic academic background with limited relevance to project – 1 point Good academic performance and some relevant interests – 2 points Strong academic performance and clear alignment with project theme – 3 points Excellent academic record, strong alignment, and documented achievements (e.g., research, awards) – 5 points 	
II. Justification and motivation for participation (max. 5 points)	 No justification or motivation provided – 0 points Very weak motivation; unclear or generic reasons – 1 point Basic motivation; some relevance to project but lacks depth – 2 points 	













Appendix 3 to the Regulations for Participation in the EUREKA Project Implemented by Lodz University of Technology and Partners Universities under the NAWA SPINAKER Programme

	 Strong motivation; clear and relevant reasons for participation – 3 points Excellent motivation; well-structured, compelling justification showing strong alignment with project goals and personal development – 5 points 	
III. Planned use of knowledge and experience gained during the IIEP (max. 5 points)	 No plan provided or irrelevant use 0 points Very vague plan; minimal connection to academic or professional goals – 1 point Basic plan; some relevance to studies or career but lacks detail – 2 points Clear plan; demonstrates how knowledge will be applied in academic or professional context – 3 points Comprehensive and well-structured plan; shows strong potential impact on academic, professional, or community development – 5 points 	
IV. Level of academic achievement in the semester preceding participation in the IIEP (study results converted into percentage values) (max. 4 points)	 ≤ 50% (low level of achievement) – 0 points 51–60% (below-average level of achievement) – 1 point 61–74% (moderate level of achievement) – 2 points 75–89% (good level of achievement) – 3 points ≥ 90% (excellent level of achievement) – 4 points 	
	Total number of points (max. 19)	
A	pplication recommended for co-financing	□YES□NO
	Decision of the ERQC	□ positive
		□ reserve list
		□ negative



